

UTILIZATION OF ALKALINE INDUSTRIAL WASTES FOR CO₂ SEQUESTRATION

Shashikant Yadav¹, Suman Choudhary², Raj Kumar³, R. K. Saran⁴

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (India)

²Department of Civil Engineering, National Institute of Technology Jaipur (India)

³Dr. SSBUICT, Punjab University, Chandigarh (India)

⁴Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (India)

ABSTRACT

There is growing the popularity of the use of carbon capture and storage (CCS) to address the changing climate. CCS can be perceived as a connecting technology that regulates the emission of CO₂ during combustion of fossil fuels. Mineral carbon sequestration has the potential to permanently store CO₂ by converting it into thermodynamically stable solid carbonates. Carbon mineral sequestration is based on natural weathering process of rocks in which CO₂ dissolves in the rainwater and forms thermodynamically stable carbonates by reacting with alkaline rocks. Calcium and magnesium silicates are the most common minerals which are suitable feedstock for carbonation. However, the major challenge for the process is slow reaction rate. The process requires energy demanding pre-treatments of feedstock such as heat treatment, fine crushing and chemical activation using strong acids to offer sufficient conversion and reactions rates. The process can also accelerate at higher temperature and pressure conditions. An alternative source of feedstock for mineral carbonation which is often more reactive than primary minerals are industrial waste residues. The carbonation of waste residues also enhances their chemical stability which increases their environmental acceptance. In the present study, a summary of utilization of industrial wastes for CO₂ sequestration and their available process routes have been discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

A rise in anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG), especially CO₂ has contributed to global warming. An increase in CO₂ can be attributed to emissions from fossil fuel combustion. The consumption of energy are expected to increase with industrialization [1,2]. The CO₂ emissions are likely to increase if proactive mitigation effort is not taken. The changing temperature has a wide range of implications on food and water availability, biodiversity, ecosystems, coastlines and human health [3]. Many governments in the world are making attempts to reduce the emission of anthropogenic CO₂ [4]. This is made possible through regulation and incentives to cap CO₂ emission. Some of the identified CO₂ abatement strategies include improving efficiency in energy utilization, minimizing energy consumption, avoiding carbon-intensive sources of energy, encouraging renewable sources of energy, biological absorption of CO₂ and implementation of CCS [5–7]. CCS comprises of three processes (i) the separation of CO₂ from other flue gasses (ii) the transportation of separated CO₂ to storage points (iii) the permanent isolation of CO₂ from the atmosphere using different sequestration methods [8]. The countries that

extensively use fossil fuels to generate electricity such as China and the US, CCS has become a bridging technology that regulates the emission of CO₂ [9]. CCS may be a new idea, but the technology and practices related to CO₂ capture and transportation have been in existence in many industries [10]. The process to capture CO₂ have been set up in coal gasification facilities and power generating plants which use either coal or natural gas [4]. A lot of studies and projects have currently been devoted to exploring the full potential of carbon capture and storage in addressing the climatic change issues [11,12]. In the recent past, it is evident that CCS has received significant attention, but the concept has not been totally integrated with CCS, and hence CCS is still at the beginning stage [13,14]. In each stage during the implementation of carbon capture and storage, there exist several types of technologies. Some of these technologies used to separate CO₂ may include sorbents, cryogenic distillation, membranes and chemical—absorption. However, the most popular method is chemical absorption [15,16]. The separated CO₂ is then stored using ocean, geological and mineral sequestration methods but CO₂ can also be used in several industrial applications [17]. Table 1 highlights the advantages and disadvantages of CCS technologies.

Table 1: Summary of different CO₂ sequestration methods

Storage types	Benefits	Drawbacks	Potential Gt	Cost \$	References
Geological	Developed technology	High threat of leakage	1800	0.5—8.0	[4,18]
	Economical	Requires constant monitoring			
	Public acceptance	shortage of suitable sites			
Ocean	High potential	High environmental risk	>1,000	6—31	[4,19]
	Universal availability	Expensive than geo—storage			
	No monitoring	Negative/hostile perception			
Biological	Low cost	Monocultures plantation can	100	3—10	[3]
	Less environmental issues	imbalance the ecosystem			
	High potential	Insufficient capacity			
		Risk of disruption of food chain			
Mineral carbonation	Environmentally safe	High costs	>10,000 to 1,000,000	50—100	[4,17]
	Exothermic reactions	Pre—treatment			
	Abundance of feedstock	Unsecure future potential			


II. MINERAL SEQUESTRATION

The process is established on the knowledge derived from natural rock weathering [19,20]. The CO₂ dissolves in the rainwater to form carbonic acid, and these acids are neutralized when comes in contact with alkaline minerals and form mineral carbonates [21]. Mineral carbonation results in the formation of thermodynamically stable solid carbonates and hence, no CO₂ is released after the process [22,23]. The release of CO₂ from these

carbonates requires a high amount of energy [4,24]. Mineral carbon sequestration can be achieved either *in-situ* or *ex-situ* [15]. There are several advantages associated with mineral sequestration when contrasted with geological, ocean and biological sequestration [3]. The products of mineral sequestration can be sold, they are environment friendly and provide a permanent CO₂ storage [9].

III. MECHANISM FOR MINERAL CARBONATION

Rocks rich in magnesium and calcium silicates such as wollastonite and olivine are most suitable feedstock for mineral carbonation. The carbonated products such as dolomite, calcite, and magnesium are thermodynamically more stable than their primary minerals. The following equations can illustrate the carbonation reactions of calcium and magnesium silicates.



No additional energy is essential to the system since the process is exothermic, but the cost of processing silicates is adversely affected by pre-treatment required. It is paramount to take into account the cost involved in grinding of minerals when evaluating the viability of the process [25,26]. Mineral sequestration can be realized either by *ex-situ* where raw materials are transported to the site or *in-situ* where CO₂ diffuse into the rocks rich in calcium and magnesium [27–29]. There are three stages involved in *ex-situ* carbonation and they include:

- [1] Pre-treatment of the minerals such as thermal and mechanical activation.
- [2] Carbonates formation by reaction of activated minerals and CO₂
- [3] Storage and reuse of the finished carbonated products

It is desirable to locate carbonation plant to be adjacent to the natural mineral resources to reduce the cost involved if the CO₂ emitters are far from the actual location of the mineral resources. This is a challenge since most CO₂ emitter sources are situated far from the mineral resources [9,18].

IV. CARBONATION OF WASTE RESIDUES

The solid waste residues can react with CO₂ as a substitute to natural minerals. The advantage that waste residues carbonation possesses over mineral carbonation is evident when it comes to pre-treatment in that it is not mandatory to conduct pre-treatment in waste residues and closeness to the sources of CO₂. Most of the waste products such as cement waste, iron and steel slag, municipal solid waste incinerator ash and bauxite processing have worldwide availability in large quantity [9,19,30,31]. The process streams generate a substantial amount of waste residues with prospective for carbonation are discussed in the Table 2.

Table 2: Characteristics of alkali waste [18]

Main Category	Sub—category	Waste
Wastes resulting from exploration, mining, dressing and further treatment of minerals and quarry	Wastes from further physical and chemical processing of metalliferous minerals	Bauxite residues
Inorganic wastes from thermal processes	Wastes from power stations and other combustion plants	Coal FA
	Wastes from the iron and steel industry	Steel slags
	Wastes from manufacture of cement, lime and plaster and articles and products made from them	CKD
Wastes from waste treatment facilities, offsite waste water treatment plants and the water industry	Wastes from incineration or pyrolysis of waste	MSWI BA
		MSWI FA

5.1. bauxite residue

Bauxite residues are also known as ‘red mud’ and they are the waste products generated during extraction of alumina from bauxite ores using Bayer process [9]. It is difficult to transport, dispose or reuse bauxite residue due to their high level of alkalinity and also high sodium concentration [32]. Carbonation of red mud is also beneficial for neutralization of its alkalinity which involves the reaction between the solutions of bauxite residue and CO₂ [32,33].

5.2. Cement kiln dust

Cement kiln dust (CKD) is a mixture of fine particles, partially and unburnt raw materials and impurities which formed when manufacturing cement clinker at high temperatures (1400 °C). Most of the industries which manufacture cement usually recycle cement kiln dust. CKD which are recycled depends on various parameters such as the required standards to be achieved, alkali content and composition of the dust. Cement kiln dust can be a potential source for CO₂ sequestration.

5.3. Coal combustion ash

Coal fly ash and bottom ash are the by—products of the coal combustion and they are categorized as either class C or class F depending calcium contents [34,35]. The higher composition of calcium in the ash is favorable for CO₂ sequestration.

5.4. Steelmaking slags

During the manufacture of steel, slag consists of elements such as calcium, magnesium, silicon, manganese, and iron which can react with CO₂ to form stable carbonates are produced. Hence, these slags have a high potential to sequester CO₂ [23,36,37].

VI. CARBONATION ROUTES

The carbonation routes can be categorized into two major groups, namely direct and indirect routes of carbonation. The reaction between calcium or magnesium silicates and CO₂ either in aqueous or gaseous form occurs in a single step in the case of direct route. On the other hand, indirect route involves extraction of minerals and their carbonation in separate multiple steps [23,38,39].

Table 3: Carbonation routes

Route	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Gas—solid	Solid feed directly reacts with CO ₂	Straightforward Production of steam and electricity Utilization possibility of waste steam	Sluggish reaction Thermodynamic limitation non-viable
Aqueous	Carbonation reaction under aqueous medium. Additive chemicals are also used to enhance carbonation rate	High capacity	Energy intensive Needs additives No additive recovery Expensive
HCl extraction	HCl employed to extract reactive components	Easy recycling of HCl	Energy intensive Costly
HNO₃ extraction	HNO ₃ used to extract reactive components	Energy efficient Low cost	Non recovery of chemicals
Molten Salt	Molten salt used as extraction agent	Energy efficient than HCl	Highly corrosive Unwanted by—products
Ammonia extraction	Ammonium salts employed to extract reactive components	Pure products Fast reaction Recyclable	Expensive Limited literatures

VII. CARBON SEQUESTRATION POTENTIAL

In the case of waste residues carbonation, there is no additional transportation and also monitoring costs [9]. The cost involved with waste residues carbonation is lower than mineral carbonation since the average cost of waste residues carbonation is approximate \$67/tonne of CO₂ [18]. The reaction process generally involves low temperature and pressure. It is significant to perform some pre—treatment due to the presence of radioactive elements in some residues and also the complexity of waste systems [12,17].

Table 4: Alkali waste for carbonation

Waste types	Annual worldwide production, Mt	Sequestration potential, kg CO ₂ /ton of waste	Sequestration capacity, Mt
Bauxite	120	53	6.36
CKD	990	15—115	14.85—113.85
PFA	600	8—264	4.8—158.4
MSWI BA	80	247—475	19.76—38
MSWI FA	20	30—120	0.6—2.4
Steel slags	400	227—300	90.8—120

VIII. COMMERCIAL APPLICATIONS

A lot of valuable products are produced by several companies while utilizing mineral and waste residues carbonation. Some of these products include aggregates and secondary building materials [37,40]. The carbonate products such as calcium carbonate can be used as filter papers or coating materials [41,42].

IX. CONCLUSIONS

Anthropogenic CO₂ emissions since industrial time begun have tremendously contributed to rapidly changing climate across the globe. CO₂ is one of the major contributor greenhouse gas which is emitted from the burning of the fossil fuel. In the recent past, carbon capture and storage have been considered the best approach to address the changing climate since it regulates the emission of CO₂ during fossil fuel combustion. There exist direct and indirect carbonation process routes, but direct aqueous carbonation is a more popular process. The minerals which are used for carbonation process consist of magnesium calcium silicates are expensive, and much energy is required to convert them into carbonates with acceptable kinetics. However, mineral carbon sequestration using waste residues not only absorb CO₂ but also improves their stability by neutralizing the reactive components present in the waste. Hence, the carbonation also enhances environmental acceptance of waste residues. Carbonation also used to convert waste residues into valuable products such as calcium carbonates. It is cumbersome to distinguish various types of waste because each waste has its own unique merits and demerits and hence, the different carbon sequestration capacity. The waste such as cement contains a high percentage of CaO, and they are available in huge quantities although the sequestration capacity is limited when carbonates dominate the waste.

REFERENCES

- [1] IEA. Energy Technology Analysis: Prospects for CO₂ Capture and Storage 2004:249. doi:10.1016/B978-1-85617-710-8.00010-8.
- [2] IPCC. Climate Change 2007 Synthesis Report. 2007. doi:10.1256/004316502320517344.

- [3] Saran RK, Kumar R, Yadav S. CLIMATE CHANGE: MITIGATION STRATEGY BY VARIOUS CO₂ SEQUESTRATION METHODS. *Int J Adv Res Sci Eng* 2017;6:299–308.
- [4] IPCC. IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. 2005. doi:10.1021/es200619j.
- [5] Sanna A, Uibu M, Caramanna G, Kuusik R, Maroto-Valer MM. A review of mineral carbonation technologies to sequester CO₂. *Chem Soc Rev* 2014;43:8049–80. doi:10.1039/C4CS00035H.
- [6] Seifritz W. CO₂ disposal by means of silicates. *Nature* 1990;345:486–486. doi:10.1038/345486b0.
- [7] Sipilä J, Teir S, Zevenhoven R. Carbon dioxide sequestration by mineral carbonation Literature review update 2005–2007. *Rep VT* 2008:52. doi:10.1080/00908310600628263.
- [8] Huijgen WJJ. Carbon dioxide sequestration by mineral carbonation. Energy research Centre of the Netherlands, The Netherlands, 2007.
- [9] Bobicki ER, Liu Q, Xu Z, Zeng H. Carbon capture and storage using alkaline industrial wastes. *Prog Energy Combust Sci* 2012;38:302–20. doi:10.1016/j.pecs.2011.11.002.
- [10] White CM, Strazisar BR, Granite EJ, Hoffman JS, Pennline W, Pennline HW. Separation and Capture of CO₂ from Large Stationary Sources and Sequestration in Geological Formations — Coalbeds and Deep Saline Aquifers Separation and Capture of CO₂ from Large Stationary Sources and Sequestration in Geological Formations — Coalbeds. *J Air Waste Manage Assoc* 2003;53:645–715. doi:10.1080/10473289.2003.10466206.
- [11] Fang Y, Baojun B, Dazhen T, Dunn-Norman S, Wronkiewicz D. Characteristics of CO₂ sequestration in saline aquifers. *Pet Sci* 2010;7:83–92. doi:10.1007/s12182-010-0010-3.
- [12] Olajire AA. A review of mineral carbonation technology in sequestration of CO₂. *J Pet Sci Eng* 2013;109:364–92. doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2013.03.013.
- [13] Akber Hassan WA, Jiang X. The US Department of Energy's R&D program to reduce greenhouse gas emissions through beneficial uses of carbon dioxide. *Greenh Gases Sci Technol* 2011;1:1–11. doi:10.1002/ghg.
- [14] Geerlings H, Zevenhoven R. CO₂ mineralization-bridge between storage and utilization of CO₂. *Annu Rev Chem Biomol Eng* 2013;4:103–17. doi:10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-062011-080951.
- [15] Leung DYC, Caramanna G, Maroto-Valer MM. An overview of current status of carbon dioxide capture and storage technologies. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 2014;39:426–43. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.093.
- [16] Wee J-H. A review on carbon dioxide capture and storage technology using coal fly ash. *Appl Energy* 2013;106:143–51. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.01.062.
- [17] Sanna A, Hall MR, Maroto-Valer M. Post-processing pathways in carbon capture and storage by mineral carbonation (CCSM) towards the introduction of carbon neutral materials. *Energy Environ Sci* 2012;5:7781. doi:10.1039/c2ee03455g.
- [18] Gunning P, Hills C, Araizi P, Maries A, Wray D. Carbon capture using wastes: A review 2014;44:1–12.
- [19] Huijgen WJJ, Comans RNJ. Carbon dioxide sequestration by mineral carbonation, literature review. Energy Research Centre of the Netherlands (ECN): 2003. doi:ECN-C--03-016.
- [20] O'Connor WK, Dahlin DC, Rush GE, Dahlin CL, Collins WK. Carbon dioxide sequestration by direct mineral carbonation: Process mineralogy of feed and products. *Miner Metall Process* 2002;19:95–101.

- [21] Aradóttir ESP, Sonnenthal EL, Jónsson H. Development and evaluation of a thermodynamic dataset for phases of interest in CO₂ mineral sequestration in basaltic rocks. *Chem Geol* 2012;304–305:26–38. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.031.
- [22] Bertos MF, Simons SJR, Hills CD, Carey PJ. A review of accelerated carbonation technology in the treatment of cement-based materials and sequestration of CO₂. *J Hazard Mater* 2004;112:193–205. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2004.04.019.
- [23] Eloneva S, Teir S, Revitzer H, Salminen J, Said A, Fogelholm CJ, et al. Reduction of CO₂ Emissions from steel plants by using steelmaking slags for production of marketable calcium carbonate. *Steel Res Int* 2009;80:415–21. doi:10.2374/sri09sp028.
- [24] Huijgen WJJ, Comans RNJ. Carbonation of Steel Slag for CO₂ Sequestration: Leaching of Products and Reaction Mechanisms. *Environ Sci Technol* 2006;40:2790–6. doi:10.1021/es052534b.
- [25] O'Connor W, Dahlin D, Rush G, Gerdemann S, Penner LR, Nilsen D. *Aqueous Mineral Carbonation*. 2005.
- [26] Huijgen WJJ, Witkamp G-J, Comans RNJ. Mineral CO₂ Sequestration by Steel Slag Carbonation. *Environ Sci Technol* 2005;39:9676–82. doi:10.1021/es050795f.
- [27] Baciocchi R, Costa G, Latégano E, Marini C, Poletti A, Pomi R, et al. Accelerated carbonation of different size fractions of bottom ash from RDF incineration. *Waste Manag* 2010;30:1310–7. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2009.11.027.
- [28] Bao W, Li H, Yi Z. Selective leaching of steelmaking slag for indirect CO₂ mineral sequestration. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2010;49:2055–63. doi:10.1021/ie801850s.
- [29] Fernández Bertos F, Li X, Simons SJR, Hills CD, Carey PJ. Investigation of accelerated carbonation for the stabilisation of MSW incinerator ashes and the sequestration of CO₂. *Green Chem* 2004;6:428–36. doi:10.1039/b401872a.
- [30] Doucet FJ. Effective CO₂-specific sequestration capacity of steel slags and variability in their leaching behaviour in view of industrial mineral carbonation. *Miner Eng* 2010;23:262–9. doi:10.1016/j.mineng.2009.09.006.
- [31] Gerdemann SJ, O'Connor WK, Dahlin DC, Penner LR, Rush H. Ex situ aqueous mineral carbonation. *Environ Sci Technol* 2007;41:2587–93. doi:10.1021/es0619253.
- [32] Sahu RC, Patel RK, Ray BC. Neutralization of red mud using CO₂ sequestration cycle. *J Hazard Mater* 2010;179:28–34. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.02.052.
- [33] Yadav VS, Prasad M, Khan J, Amritphale SS, Singh M, Raju CB. Sequestration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) using red mud. *J Hazard Mater* 2010;176:1044–50. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.11.146.
- [34] Blissett RS, Rowson NA. A review of the multi-component utilisation of coal fly ash. *Fuel* 2012;97:1–23. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2012.03.024.
- [35] Muriithi GN, Petrik LF, Fatoba O, Gitari WM, Doucet FJ, Nel J, et al. Comparison of CO₂ capture by ex-situ accelerated carbonation and in in-situ naturally weathered coal fly ash. *J Environ Manage* 2013;127:212–20. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.05.027.
- [36] Lekakh SN, Rawlins CH, Robertson DGC, Richards VL, Peaslee KD. Kinetics of aqueous leaching and carbonization of steelmaking slag. *Metall Mater Trans B* 2008;39:125–34. doi:10.1007/s11663-007-9112-8.

- [37] Motz H, Geiseler J. Products of steel slags an opportunity to save natural resources. *Waste Manag* 2001;21:285–93. doi:10.1016/S0956-053X(00)00102-1.
- [38] Gunning PJ, Hills CD, Carey PJ. Accelerated carbonation treatment of industrial wastes. *Waste Manag* 2010;30:1081–90. doi:10.1016/j.wasman.2010.01.005.
- [39] Hanchen M, Prigiobbe V, Storti G, Seward TM, Mazzotti M. Dissolution kinetics of fosteritic olivine at 90–150°C including effects of the presence of CO₂. *Geochim Cosmochim Acta* 2006;70:4403–16. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.1560.
- [40] Sanna A, Uibu M, Caramanna G, Kuusik R, Maroto-Valer MM. A review of mineral carbonation technologies to sequester CO₂. *Chem Soc Rev* 2014;43:8049–80. doi:10.1039/c4cs00035h.
- [41] Eloneva S, Teir S, Salminen J, Fogelholm CJ, Zevenhoven R. Steel converter slag as a raw material for precipitation of pure calcium carbonate. *Ind Eng Chem Res* 2008;47:7104–11. doi:10.1021/ie8004034.
- [42] Teir S. Fixation of carbon dioxide by producing carbonates from minerals and steelmakingslags. vol. Doctoral D. 2008.